

(1)



F.No.89-174/E-163436/2020 Appeal/2<sup>nd</sup> Mtg.-2021/19<sup>th</sup> January, 2021  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 11/02/2021

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Universal T.T. College, Mahuwa, Hindaun Road, Mahuwa, Rajasthan dated 18/09/2018 (hard copy received on 09/09/2020) is against the Order No. NCTENRC/NRCAPP201716719/B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. – 4 Year Integrated RJ/2017-18/3 dated 03/08/2017 of the Western Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting for B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “the institution has not submitted (i) the certified registered land documents issued by the Registering Authority concerned; and (ii) approved building plan signed by the Competent Government Authority indicating the name of the course, name of the institution, Khasra No. / Plot No., total land area, total built up area and measurements of the multipurpose hall as well as other infrastructural facilities such as class rooms etc.”

**AND WHEREAS** No one from Universal T.T. College, Mahuwa, Hindaun Road, Mahuwa, Rajasthan appeared on behalf of the appellant institution on 26/10/2020. In the appeal memoranda it is submitted that “we have submitted all land documents issued by the Registering Govt. Authority submitted the Building Plan approved by the Competent Authority Gram Panchayat and AEN PWD Building Plan showing all covered area 4100 sqm. Classrooms Multipurpose Hall and other facilities etc.”

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution by an email informed NCTE that Secretary of the society is unwell and unable to attend appeal proceedings on 26/10/2020. Appellant requested for another (second) opportunity to present its case before Appellate Authority. The request of appellant was accepted and appellant was granted another (second) opportunity.

*Kesav 4 Bye*

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Kuldeep Singh, Secretary and Dr. Shyam Sundar, Principal, Universal T.T. College, Mahuwa, Hindaun Road, Mahuwa, Rajasthan presented the case of the appellant institution on 19/01/2021 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. The appellant in its letter dated 10/12/2020 made a host of submissions stating that he had submitted all the documents, but the Regional Committee had illegally rejected the file.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the submission of online appeal has been delayed by 11 months and 16 days beyond the prescribed period of sixty days and the hard copy was forwarded only on 03/09/2020. Committee further noted that appellant in its letter dated 19/01/2021 has requested to change the name given in online appeal to be read as 'Universal P.G. College'.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that according to the provisions of Section 18 (1) of the NCTE Act, 1993, any person aggrieved by an order made under Section 14 or Section 15 or Section 17 of the Act may prefer an appeal to the Council within such period as may be prescribed. According to the provisions of Rule 10 of the NCTE Rules, 1997, any person aggrieved by an order made under the above-mentioned Sections of the Act may prefer an appeal to the Council within sixty days of issue of such orders. According to the provisions of Section 18 (2) of the NCTE Act, no appeal shall be admitted if it is preferred after the expiry of the period prescribed therefor, provided such an appeal may be admitted after the expiry of the period prescribed therefor, if the appellant satisfies the Council that he had sufficient cause for not preferring the appeal within the prescribed period.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted from the hard copy of the online appeal sent by the appellant with their letter dt. 03/09/2020, that the reason for delay in appeal has been stated as "online technical problem". On the other hand, in a letter dt. 10/12/2020 sent by the appellant, it has been stated that appellant was unable to file appeal within the stipulated time due to serious back pain. The reasons adduced for delay in these

*Kesav Y. Dhye*

two documents are contrary to each other and the reason adduced in the letter dt. 10/12/2020 is apparently an afterthought.

**AND WHEREAS** in view of the above position, the Committee is not satisfied that the appellant had sufficient cause for not preferring the appeal within the prescribed period. The Committee decided not to condone the delay and hence the appeal is not admitted.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee, therefore, concluded not to condone the delay and hence the appeal is not admitted.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Universal T.T. College, Mahuwa, 4 Mile Stone, Hindaun Road, Mahuwa, Rajasthan – 321608.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

②



F.No.89-176/E-162419/2020 Appeal/2<sup>nd</sup> Mtg.-2021/19<sup>th</sup> January, 2021

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 11/02/2021

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Maa Ramdulari Balika Mahavidyalaya, Chhatarma, Baddhupur, Ghazipur to Azamgarh Road, Varanasi, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh dated 16/08/2020 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-15091/311<sup>th</sup> Meeting/2020/208427 dated 09.07.2020 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution did not submit the reply of SCN dated 28.05.2019. Hence, the Committee decided that the application is rejected and recognition/permission is refused u/s 14/15 (3)(b) of the NCTE Act, 1993. FDRs, if any be returned to the institution."

**AND WHEREAS** No one from Maa Ramdulari Balika Mahavidyalaya, Chhatarma, Baddhupur, Ghazipur to Azamgarh Road, Varanasi, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh appeared on behalf of the appellant institution on 26/10/2020. In the appeal memoranda it is submitted that "Maa Ramdulari College applied for B. Ed course on 30/06/2015. 2. File number is (NRCAPP-15091). Inspection of the institution for B.Ed. course was done on 09/05/2016 by the team sent by Northern Regional Committee. Based on the inspection report, LOI letter was issued by the Northern Regional Committee to the institution. The recognition of the institutes B.Ed. course was denied by the order dated 09/07/2020. The recognition of B.Ed. course of the institute has been rejected in the order dated 09/07/2020 in which it has been said that the institution has not responded to the show cause notice. Show Cause Notice was not received by the institution and all the standards of recognition are followed by the institution. The manager of the institution was ill for 6 months and he was hospitalized. And for this reason, the teachers could not get

*Resg 4/8/21*

approval. It is requested that I be given 1 month time for teacher approval. So that I can submit the file after getting the teachers approval."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee, as per extant appeal rules, decided to grant another (second) opportunity to the appellant to present its case before Appellate Authority.

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Manish Kumar Singh, Clerk, Maa Ramdulari Balika Mahavidyalaya, Chhatarma, Baddhupur, Ghazipur to Azamgarh Road, Varanasi, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 19/01/2021 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the Show Cause Notice dt. 28/05/2019 was issued to the appellant institution as they did not comply with the requirements of the Letter of Intent dated 27/02/2019 within the stipulated period of two months from the date of issue. The plea of the appellant that they did not receive the Show Cause dt. 28/05/2019, which was sent to the same address to which the Letter of Intent was sent, is not acceptable. Further the very fact that the appellant could not appoint the teaching staff till now and he is seeking further time therefore clearly indicates that they have failed to comply with the conditions of the Letter of Intent.

**AND WHEREAS** in the above circumstances, the Committee concluded that the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC, which was issued on justified ground, Confirmed.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and the documents available on records, the Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in refusing recognition. The appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC is confirmed.

*K. S. Singh*

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



**(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Manager, Maa Ramdulari Balika Mahavidyalaya, Chhatarma, Baddhupur, Ghazipur to Azamgarh Road, Varanasi, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh – 233300.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

3

F.No.89-182/E-164688/2020 Appeal/2<sup>nd</sup> Mtg.-2021/19<sup>th</sup> January, 2021**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 11/02/2021

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of St. Grace Lilian College of Education, Poovanoor, Harur Main Road, Salem, Tamil Nadu dated 14/08/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO6372/B.Ed/TN/16176 dated 27.07.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "size of classrooms and Multipurpose Hall is less than the requirement of NCTE Regulations, 2014. The faculties namely Karthikeyan S, Prakasam S, Selvam P and Ravikumar G are not qualified as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. The institute did not remove the deficiencies. Column of size of M.P. Hall is missing in BCC. As per NEC, the land is Agricultural."

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Sofia David, Adm. Officer and Sh. Jairaj, Chairman, St. Grace Lilian College of Education, Poovanoor, Harur Main Road, Salem, Tamil Nadu presented online the case of the appellant institution on 27/10/2020. In the appeal memoranda it was submitted that "There are 8 Class Rooms in which 6 Class Rooms are in size of 30.3 X 20 606 Sq.Ft each and totally 6 X 606 3636 Sq.Ft and 2 Class Rooms are in size of 20.6 X 30.45 609 Sq.Ft each and Totally 2 X 609 1218 Sq.Ft. Multipurpose Hall is in size of 40.9 X 61.6 2519.44 Sq.Ft. building plan can be produced along with photos of class rooms and multipurpose hall with all FURNITURES if needed. These 4 faculties are eligible as per the State Government Norms. please see the Reference below: NCTE Norms, 2014, Page No. 114, The Gazette of India: Extraordinary [Part III – Sec.4] – Appendix – 4, Para 3.2 Eligibility Sub (b) as follows. "The reservation and relaxation for SC / ST / OBC / PWD and other categories shall be as per the rules of the Central Government / State Government, whichever is applicable." 1. Karthikeyan. S – SC – DOJ: 01.06.2013 2. Prakasam. S – ST – DOJ: 20.03.2017 3. Selvam. P – SC – DOJ: 01.07.2013 4. Ravikumar. G – SC – DOJ: 09.04.2012 We got staff approval for the above

*Kesava Jayaram*

staff members from our TNTEU as based on NCTE Norms. We have enclosed 4 members' community certificates for your kind perusal. The 3 staff members you mentioned in the notice have been working in our college for the past 8 years. Based on the rights mentioned in the NCTE Norms - 2014, therefore they have eligibility to continue to work as a assistant professor at our St. Grace Lilian College of Education, Salem, Tamilnadu, India. Column of size of M.P Hall is mentioned in the Building Completion Certificate. Multipurpose Hall is in size of 40.9 X 61.6 2519.44 Sq. Ft. building completion certificate can be produced along with photos of multipurpose hall with all furniture's if needed. Yes. We have land use certificate received from vao in which it says Indian Christian Mission Centre Trust bearing reg. No. 66/1988 is having its own land bearing survey no. 21/1, 21/2, 25/4 to an extent of 7.90 acres situated at Thadanoor Village, Ayothiyapattinam sub-registrar office, Salem District, Tamilnadu State as per the sale deed (626/97 dt. 29.01.1997). This non-agricultural dry land is extremely used only for the educational purpose to run St. Grace Lilian College of Education at Thadanoor by the ICMC Trust, Salem, Tamilnadu, India."

**AND WHEREAS** appellant during the course of online hearing of appeal submitted a request seeking another opportunity to present its case before Appellate Authority. Appeal Committee, as per extant appeal rules, decided to grant another (second) opportunity to appellant to present its case.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the appellant filed a W.P. No. 15724 of 2020 before the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras. The Hon'ble High Court, in their order dt. 09/11/2020, noting that the petitioner's appeal had already been heard and what remained is only passing of final order, directed that the order be passed within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. S. Jairaj, Director, St. Grace Lilian College of Education, Poovanoor, Harur Main Road, Salem, Tamil Nadu presented online the case of the appellant institution on 19/01/2021 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. The appellant, with their appeal, enclosed a copy of the building plan which shows that the size of the Multipurpose hall is 40.9 x 61.6 = 2519.44 sq. ft. and also a copy of the Building Completion Certificate, countersigned by the Assistant Engineer, Panchayat

*Desai Y. R. K.*

union, Ayothiyapattanam, indicating the size of the multipurpose hall as 2519.44 sq. ft. The appellant also enclosed a copy of the staff profile, copies of community certificates of the faculty members and a copy of Land Use Certificate.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee, noting that the appellant has submitted the documents vis a vis the grounds mentioned in the withdrawal order, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant, and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant, and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of St. Grace Lilian College of Education, Poovanoor, Harur Main Road, Salem, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

**(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)**  
Member Secretary

1. The Chairman, St. Grace Lilian College of Education, 21/1, 21/2, 25/4, Poovanoor, Harur Main Road, Salem, Tamil Nadu – 636122.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.

5

F.No.89-200/E-165666/2020 Appeal/2<sup>nd</sup> Mtg.-2021/19<sup>th</sup> January, 2021**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 11/02/2021

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Vijaya Mahavidhyalaya, Vill. – Harirampur, Tochigarh, Iglas, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh dated 25/09/2020 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/UP-2665/312<sup>th</sup> Meeting/2019/208555-60 dated 10.07.2020 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that “as per SOP, two SCNs u/s 17 have already been issued. Therefore, the recognition of the institution may be withdrawn as enough opportunities have been given to the institution.”

**AND WHEREAS** No one from Vijaya Mahavidhyalaya, Vill. – Harirampur, Tochigarh, Iglas, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh appeared or behalf of the appellant institution on 28/10/2020. In the appeal memoranda it was submitted that “Everything is already submitted to NRC-NCTE, Delhi. Enclosed: All related documents Ground of Appeal - We already have required teachers and they are still working in institution but due to university corruption. They don't provide subject expert for approval and after that NRC – NCTE withdrawal recognition so university refuse to provide subject expert that without NCTE instructions they cannot allot subject expert. Copy Enclosed Prayer - The applicant therefore prays that consider our situation and give direction to university, that they should provide subject expert for approval and above ground appeal against maybe set aside. I/We Mr. Vijay Singh Applicant above named do hereby declare what is stated herein is true to the best of my knowledge and believe and nothing material is suppressed or concealed. Place: Aligarh Signature of applicant: Mr. Vijay Singh (Secretary) Graminoutthan Shikshan Samiti.”

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee, as per extant appeal rules, decided to grant another 2<sup>nd</sup> opportunity to the appellant to present its case before Appellate Authority.

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Brajesh Chaudhary, Director and Sh. Indra Pal Singh, Principal, Vijaya Mahavidhyalaya, Vill. – Harirampur, Tochigarh, Iglas, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 19/01/2021 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. In the course of presentation, the appellant with their letter dt. 19/01/2021, enclosed (i) copy of the teachers profile consisting of 15 members for the session 2020-21 signed by the Registrar, Bhim Rao Ambedkar University, Agra; (ii) copy of a letter dt. 01/12/2020 from the Registrar of the said University approving the faculty; (iii) copies of affidavits of the faculty members; (iv) copy of a letter dt. 15/01/2021 from the Union Bank of India, Sanjay Place, Agra about payment of salaries of the employees of the appellant institution for December, 2020 and (v) a print out of website pages.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the appellant, in response to the first Show Cause Notice dt. 14/06/2019, issued in pursuance of a letter dt. 19/01/2019 issued by B.R. Ambedkar University, in their reply dated 11/07/2019 (copy enclosed to the appeal), has explained their difficulty in selecting faculty in the absence of nomination of subject experts by the affiliating University. The appellant has now secured the approval of the University for their faculty only on 01/12/2020. From the faculty list, it is seen that they are NET qualified or Ph.D. holder.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee, noting that appellant has now submitted the documents found wanting in the withdrawal order, except bank statements for six months and had explained the difficulty in selecting fresh faculty, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the NRC the documents submitted in appeal with originals thereof, wherever necessary, as also bank statements in support of payment of salaries during the last six months to the faculty members working prior to December, 2020, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

*Kesav Y. S. J.*

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the NRC the documents submitted in appeal with originals thereof, wherever necessary, as also bank statements in support of payment of salaries during the last six months to the faculty members working prior to December, 2020, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of Vijaya Mahavidhyalaya, Vill. – Harirampur, Tochigarh, Iglas, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

**(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)**  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Vijaya Mahavidhyalaya, Vill. – Harirampur, 270, Tochigarh, Hathras – Iglas Road, Iglas, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh – 204101.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

⑥

F.No.89-202/E-165773/2020 Appeal/2<sup>nd</sup> Mtg.-2021/19<sup>th</sup> January, 2021**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 11/02/2021

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Repalle Christian College of Education, Bethapudi, Repalle, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh dated 26/09/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APS00016/B.Ed/AP/2020-117797 dated 07.09.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Recognition was granted to the Institution on rented premises "India Rural Evangelical Fellowship, Repalle -522265, Guntur Dist. Andhra Pradesh". The Institution has not shifted its institution to own permanent building which is a violation of the NCTE Regulations 2002, 3 (c). Percentage of Marks in M.Ed. of Principal is less than 55%. The Institution has not appointed faculty for Performing Arts. Lecturers from S. No. 10 to 16 have been shown appointed on 01.04.2018, thus not having NET/Ph.D. as per NCTE (Recognition Norms & Procedure) (Amendment) Regulations, 2017 dated 29.05.2017 notified on 09.06.2017. Other staff have been shown appointed before promulgation of NCTE Regulations dated 09.06.2017. Built up area and site area not mentioned in Building Plan. The Survey Number mentioned in building plan, site plan, BCC is 463/1A whereas the S. Nos. mentioned in the land document are 463/1A, 342/11, 464/1, 463/2, 338/17,18,18B 335 342/3. The Institution is required to clarify about the different survey numbers in land and building documents. Building plan and site plan does not show site area and built-up area. Multipurpose hall area not mentioned in BCC. The Survey Number mentioned in the land documents submitted at the time of recognition is different from the survey number mentioned in the land documents now."

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. M.K. Deenadayal, Member Society, Repalle Christian College of Education, Bethapudi, Repalle, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 28/10/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Appellant Educational Agency - India Rural Evangelical Fellowship is the Charitable Society for the composite colleges-B.ED APSO0016 & D.EL.ED APSO2792

Programs recognized by NCTE, SRC. The Educational Agency India Rural Evangelical Fellowship constructed a three storied permanent building in S.No.463/1A of Bethapudi village of Repalle Mandal, Guntur District, AP, during the year 1999 to establish teacher education institutions by the educational agency "India Rural Evangelical Fellowship, Repalle 522265, Guntur district, Andhra Pradesh." The educational agency governing body made a resolution and allocated the building meant for B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. programs. A joint inspection commission visited the college premises on 03.02.2004 while granting the D.El.Ed course and submitted their remarks to SRC, Bangalore. Also, the University Inspection commission headed by Prof Ganta Ramesh who is now the member of SRC, visited the college on 01.11.2003 and stated in his report on the accommodation as – own building, well planned, well maintained, sprawling building congenial for educational purposes. As we have been established the colleges in the own permanent buildings from the inception of the college, shifting of building does not arise. And we have clarified the same to NCTE SRC to resolve this issue and submitted relevant documents. We have submitted the information, in NCTE website, in Geographical Information System on 12.12.2016 in our joint performance appraisal report of Repalle Christian College of Education and IREF Institute of Elementary Teacher Education. Since we have been submitting all the information to NCTE, SRC, regarding the accommodation details several times, it is arbitrary and injustice to deny our explanations in the final show cause notice. There is no violation of the NCTE Regulations 2002,3(c). as per the communications with NCTE, SRC, copies of which are enclosed herewith for your kind perusal. Hence the Order passed by the SRC in No.: F.SRO/NCTE/APSO0016/B.Ed./AP/2020 dated 07.09.2020 withdrawing the recognition of Repalle Christian College of Education will not stand and the same has to be reviewed and pass favourable orders. The Principal who is working in the appellant college is qualified. The Percentage of Marks in M.Ed is 60.5. The staff approval list of Acharya Nagarjuna University proves the same. And he has been working as Principal since 2003. Therefore, the Order of the SRC has to reviewed. The Faculty for Performing Arts has been appointed as per the norms and regulations of NCTE and Acharya Nagarjuna University. The enclosed staff list approved by Acharya Nagarjuna University proves the same. The Faculty appointed by the management are all qualified and after following the



due process only. All the Lecturers working in the appellant college are performing up to the mark. As far as the Lecturers having NET/PhD is concerned the Acharya Nagarjuna University permitted the Lecturers who are working in the appellant college with good performance and also exemption was given for upgrading till the lecturers having NET/PhD are available. And hence from S. No. 10 to 16 have been appointed the available candidates by the educational agency – and approved by Acharya Nagarjuna University. Therefore, it cannot be construed by the Authorities of NCTE that the management committed any mistake in this regard. Hence the appeal in this regard be considered. The built-up area and site area is mentioned in the approved building plan. It shows - The site area as 22,200 Square meters and built-up area as 6122.34 sq. meters. The copy of visible building plan with site area and building area is enclosed. Hence the Order passed by the NCTE SRC is reviewed and the explanation by the appellant be considered. The B.Ed. College Building was constructed in the Survey No.463/1A and the other survey numbers mentioned in the land usage certificate are all as per the revenue records only. The land in which the building was constructed and also the site shown in the plan earmarked for playground of the B.Ed. & D.El.Ed. colleges in different survey numbers acquired by the educational agency from different people adjacent to the college campus. Building plan and site plan clearly shows the built-up area and site area. Multipurpose hall area is mentioned in the building plan and BCC. Hence there is no violation of NCTE Norms. As the B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. institutes are functioning in the same premises, the land adjacent to Repalle Christian College of Education acquired later was allocated by the Educational Agency for the composite B.Ed. and D.El.Ed institutes playground. Hence the appellant college did not made any violation of NCTE Norms and Regulations. Hence the Order passed by the SRC, NCTE be reviewed and restore the recognition of Repalle Christian College of Education.”

**AND WHEREAS** the appellant, in a letter dt. Nil, requested for another opportunity to present their case. The Committee acceded to their request and decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the second opportunity to present their case.

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Deendayal, Principal, Repalle Christian College of Education, Bethapudi, Repalle, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh presented online the case of the appellant institution on 19/01/2021 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. The appellant,

*Kesava Rao*

after presentation, through e-mail dt. 20/01/2021, submitted a number of land related documents, approved staff list and others. The appellant has also submitted detailed explanation in the appeal as mentioned in para 2 above.

**AND WHEREAS** in view of the above position, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to reconsider the matter in light of the explanations furnished by the appellant and the documents submitted by them, in support thereof, to be sent to them by the appellant and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC all the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to reconsider the matter in the light of the explanations furnished by the appellant and the documents submitted by them, in support thereof, to be sent to them by the appellant and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC all the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Repalle Christian College of Education, Bethapudi, Repalle, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

**(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Secretary, Repalle Christian College of Education, Bethapudi, Bethapudi Road, Repalle, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh – 522265.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

7



F.No.89-204/E-165345/2020 Appeal/2<sup>nd</sup> Mtg.-2021/19<sup>th</sup> January, 2021  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
 G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 11/02/2021

### ORDER

**WHEREAS** the appeal of M.P. Girls Sansthan, Mahmudpur Kutubchak, Saidpur, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh dated 26/09/2020 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-15653/255<sup>th</sup> Meeting/2016/156402-55 dated 24.08.2016 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has not submitted the reply of the Show Cause Notice issued on 21.11.2015."

**AND WHEREAS** No one from M.P. Girls Sansthan, Mahmudpur Kutubchak, Saidpur, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh appeared on behalf of the appellant institution on 28/10/2020. In the appeal memoranda it was submitted that "M.P. Girls Sansthan Mahmudpur Kutubchak Saidpur Ghazipur UP. Application fee was submitted by institution in original copy demand draft no- 087156 date 28-05-2015 Rs. 150000. Application form submitted by institution under 15<sup>th</sup> days. NCTE receipt copy enclosed. N.O.C. is submitted by institution with hard copy online application form."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee, as per extant appeal rules, decided to grant another (2<sup>nd</sup>) opportunity to appellant to present its case before the Appellate Authority.

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Gyanender Yadav, Member, M.P. Girls Sansthan, Mahmudpur Kutubchak, Saidpur, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 19/01/2021 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. The appellant in a letter dt. 18/01/2021 stated that he had submitted the NOC along with their application. The appellant had not made any submission about not replying to the Show Cause Notice dt. 21/11/2015, which is the ground for refusal.

*For I Page*

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the submission of the appeal has been delayed by over three years and eleven months beyond the prescribed period of sixty days.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that according to the provisions of Section 18 (1) of the NCTE Act, 1993, any person aggrieved by an order made under Section 14 or Section 15 or Section 17 of the Act may prefer an appeal to the Council within such period as may be prescribed. According to the provisions of Rule 10 of the NCTE Rules, 1997, any person aggrieved by an order made under the above-mentioned Sections of the Act may prefer an appeal to the Council within sixty days of issue of such orders. According to the provisions of Section 18 (2) of the NCTE Act, no appeal shall be admitted if it is preferred after the expiry of the period prescribed therefor, provided such an appeal may be admitted after the expiry of the period prescribed therefor, if the appellant satisfies the Council that he had sufficient cause for not preferring the appeal within the prescribed period.

**AND WHEREAS** the appellant, in the online appeal stated that serious disease of the Manager for long time was the reason for delay in appeal. The appellant, in a letter dt. 18/01/2021, submitted copies of medical reports of Shri Kashinath Yadav during the years 2015, 2017, 2018 and 2020 given after long intervals and which did not indicate any hospitalisation or advice of rest for the patient.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee is not satisfied that the reason adduced by the appellant, is a sufficient cause for not preferring appeal within the prescribed period. The Committee, therefore, decided not to condone the delay and hence the appeal is not admitted.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing,

*Resg. Hope*

Appeal Committee, therefore, concluded not to condone the delay and hence the appeal is not admitted.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)  
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, M.P. Girls Sansthan, Mahmudpur Kutubchak, 70, Saidpur, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh – 233304.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

8



F.No.89-206/E-166314/2020 Appeal/2<sup>nd</sup> Mtg.-2021/19<sup>th</sup> January, 2021  
**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**  
 G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 11/02/2021

### ORDER

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Gramoddhar Mahavidhyalaya, Dadon-Aligarh Road, Atrauli, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh dated 03/10/2020 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/UP-1281/315<sup>th</sup> Meeting/2020/209592 dated 21.09.2020 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that the second show cause notice was issued to the institution on 10/02/2020 and no reply has been submitted. "As per SOP, two SCNs u/s 17 have already been issued. Therefore, the recognition of the institution may be withdrawn as enough opportunities have been given to the institution."

**AND WHEREAS** No one from Gramoddhar Mahavidhyalaya, Dadon-Aligarh Road, Atrauli, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh appeared to present the case of the appellant institution on 28/10/2020. In the appeal memoranda it was submitted that "Institution has 18 faculty (17 faculty and 1 Principal/HOD) duly approved by affiliating body I.E. Dr. Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Agra. Copies of approval letters issued by the University are attached as Annexure - 1. It is submitted that institution has submitted its reply dated 08.06.2016 with all relevant documents to NRC which was received on 09.06.2016, thereafter, another reply was submitted by letter dated 27.04.2018. Subsequently, one more reply dated 13.07.2019 alongwith all relevant documents was submitted by speed post in respect of Show Cause Notice dated 10.06.2019. The institution is duly paying the salary of all appointed faculty and there is no deficiency in this regard. The details of salary disbursement will also be produced before the Appeal Committee at the time of hearing. The institution has submitted three replies alongwith all relevant documents I.e. reply dated 08.06.2016, 27.04.2018 & reply dated 13.07.2019. This ground of Regional Committee is VAGUE and does not spell out specific deficiency. The institution fulfils all Norms and Standards and has all physical and academic Infrastructure along with qualified faculty duly approved by affiliating University. In none of the Show Cause

*tes 4/1/21*

Notices issued by NRC any deficiency regarding website link showing the faculty detail was pointed out. The institution is maintaining the website alongwith all requisite details as provided under NCTE Regulations, 2014 which contains website link showing the faculty details also. The downloaded page of the website will be produced at the time of hearing."

**AND WHEREAS** Gramoddhar Mahavidhyalaya, Dadon-Aligarh Road, Atrauli, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 28/10/2020, but nobody from the institution appeared. Efforts to get the appellant institution online to present its appeal were also made on 28/10/2020 (15:09 Hrs. to 15:12 Hrs.). Online link could not be established. The Committee decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the second opportunity to present their case.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted from the file of the NRC that the appellant, aggrieved by the order of withdrawal of recognition dt. 21/09/2020, filed a W.P. (C) 7850/2020 before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi. The Hon'ble High Court, in their order dt. 13/10/2020, noting the submission of the Counsel for the petitioner that their appeal is pending adjudication, inter-alia, directed that the Appellate Authority may adjudicate/dispose of the appeal expeditiously uninfluenced by observations made by this court and as per law.

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Vijay Pal Singh, Manager and Sh. Upendra singh, Lecturer, Gramoddhar Mahavidhyalaya, Dadon-Aligarh Road, Atrauli, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 19/01/2021 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. The appellant, in the course of presentation, submitted copies of two letters dt. 04/09/2004 and 02/09/2019 from the Assistant Registrar and Registrar, respectively, approving faculty for the B.Ed. course and copies of monthly statements for payment of salaries to the teachers during the period August, 2019 to January, 2021. According to these statements all teachers were paid uniform monthly remuneration of Rs. 20,000/- during the entire period.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted from the two Show Cause Notices dt. 10/06/2019 and 10/02/2020 that the appellant was to submit the details of the faculty

*Resg 4/16/21*

approved by the affiliating University in the prescribed proforma along with the letter issued by the University approving the faculty, details of salary disbursed to the faculty along with 6 months bank statement and website details.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that despite NRC giving two opportunities, the appellant could not submit the requisite information. Even in the course of appeal presentation, the appellant did not submit the staff profile in the proforma, giving various details and duly countersigned by the University authorities. The salary disbursement statements are handwritten. The appellant has not submitted statements issued by the Bank indicating the individual account numbers. Further the appellant did not produce any downloaded page of their website.

**AND WHEREAS** in view of the above position, the Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in withdrawing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC, confirmed.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, and the documents available on records, the Committee concluded that the NRC was justified in withdrawing recognition. The appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the NRC is confirmed.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.**

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)  
Member Secretary

1. The Manager, Gramoddhar Mahavidhyalaya, 397, Dadon-Aligarh Road, Atrauli, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

10



F.No.89-02/E-148369/2020 Appeal/2<sup>nd</sup> Mtg.-2021/19<sup>th</sup> January, 2021

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 11/02/2021

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Konkan Muslim Education Society's, College of Education, Thane Road, Bhiwandi, Thane, Maharashtra dated 23/11/2019 is against the Order No. WRC/APW05418/123672/311<sup>th</sup> /2019/206719 to 206726 dated 11.10.2019 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution vide letter dated 20/03/2017. The summary of the case submitted reveals that the institution has not submitted reply of the Show Cause Notice. Hence, the Committee decided to withdraw the recognition under Section 17(1) of the NCTE Act, 1993 for B.Ed. programme with effect from the end of the academic session next following the date of communication of the said order."

**AND WHEREAS** Konkan Muslim Education Society's, College of Education, Thane Road, Bhiwandi, Thane, Maharashtra was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 25/02/2020 but nobody appeared to represent the case of the appellant institution. In the appeal memoranda it was submitted that "Reply of Show Cause Notice with required documents despatched through speed-post ARM717717032IN dt. 06.04.2017. Again on 18.05.2018 land documents staff details and other documents were sent via speed-post on 19.05.2018. No: EM084689065IN IVR: 6977084689065." Appeal Committee noted that nobody from appellant institution appeared before the Committee to present its case. Appeal Committee, as per extant appeal rules, decided to grant second opportunity to the appellant to present its case.

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Ravinder Kaur, Principal, Konkan Muslim Education Society's, College of Education, Thane Road, Bhiwandi, Thane, Maharashtra presented online the case of appellant institution on 07/09/2020 and requested for another opportunity in view

*Ravinder Kaur*

of the prevailing Covid – 19 situation in the State of Maharashtra. Appeal Committee, as per extant appeal rules, decided to grant another opportunity to the appellant institution.

**AND WHEREAS** the representative of Konkan Muslim Education Society's, College of Education, Thane Road, Bhiwandi, Thane, Maharashtra was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 01/12/2020 i.e. the third and final opportunity. The appellant, in an email dated 01/12/2020, stating that due to Pandemic it was not possible to get their work done, requested for another opportunity to complete the required documents and send the same as soon as possible. The Committee in view of the prevailing situation, acceded to the request of the appellant and decided to give them yet another opportunity, as a special case, to present their case.

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Ravinder Kaur, Principal and Sh. Prakash Mishra, Head Clerk, Konkan Muslim Education Society's, College of Education, Thane Road, Bhiwandi, Thane, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 19/01/2021 i.e. the special opportunity granted to them. The appellant, in the course of presentation, submitted a letter dt. 19/01/2021. In this letter the appellant, re-iterating that they did send a reply to the Show Cause Notice on 06/04/2017 by speed post enclosed copies of land related documents and staff profile.


**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the reply of the appellant, stated to have been sent by the appellant, is not available in the file of the WRC. It is also noted that no further communication in the form of a second/final Show Cause Notice was issued to the appellant by the WRC after issue of the Show Cause Notice dt. 20/03/2017. In the above circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the WRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the WRC all the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.



**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to WRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the WRC all the documents submitted in appeal within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of **Konkan Muslim Education Society's, College of Education, Thane Road, Bhiwandi, Thane, Maharashtra** to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



**(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)**  
Member Secretary

1. **The Principal, Konkan Muslim Education Society's, College of Education, H.No.158, Thane Road, Bhiwandi, Thane, Maharashtra – 421302.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.

11



F.No.89-177/E-163726/2020 Appeal/2<sup>nd</sup> Mtg.-2021/19<sup>th</sup> January, 2021

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 11/02/2021

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Rajiv Gandhi Mahila Mahavidhyalaya, Vill.-Pardaha Maunath Bhanjan, Tehsil Road, Sadar, Mau, Uttar Pradesh dated 28/08/2020 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/UP-3124/313<sup>th</sup> Meeting/2019/208573 dated 10.07.2020 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "as per SOP, two SCNs u/s 17 have already been issued. Therefore, the recognition of the institution may be withdrawn as enough opportunities have been given to the institution."

**AND WHEREAS** neither the appellant appeared before the Committee nor online link could be established on 22/10/2020. However, the appellant in an email dated 23/10/2020, stating that he could not check the mail due to some technical reasons, requested that another opportunity may be granted to them. The Committee acceded to the request and decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the second opportunity to present their case.

**AND WHEREAS** the representative of Rajiv Gandhi Mahila Mahavidhyalaya, Vill.-Pardaha Maunath Bhanjan, Tehsil Road, Sadar, Mau, Uttar Pradesh was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 01/12/2020 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. The appellant, in the course of online presentation, requested for another opportunity to present their case. The Committee acceded to the request and decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the third and final opportunity to present their case.

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. S. Khan, Secretary, Rajiv Gandhi Mahila Mahavidhyalaya, Vill.-Pardaha Maunath Bhanjan, Tehsil Road, Sadar, Mau, Uttar Pradesh presented

*Keey Y. Keey*

online the case of the appellant institution on 19/01/2021, i.e. the third and final opportunity granted to them. In the online appeal, the appellant submitted "Institution letter no. 209 ref.no.209/S.C.N./2019, dated 29.10.2019 Speed Post no. EU437833184In, dated 30.10.2019 explanation as follows: Sub.: F.No./NRC/NCTE/UP3124/308th. Meeting/2019/206360 dated 04 Oct., 2019 (i) Certified registered land documents issued by the registering authority. (ii) Approved building plan signed by the R.B.O. officer, Mau and all measurements of the institution infrastructural facilities. (iii) Non-Encumbrance Certificate issued by the sub. Registrar, Mau (iv) Building Completion Certificate signed by Exn. P.W.D. Mau. (v) current faculty 1 HOD/Principal and 7 Lecturer approved by the registrar V.B.S. Purvanchal University, Jaunpur. (U.P.) It is submitted that counselling for academic session 2020 and 21 is going to start and in the facts and circumstances of the case withdrawal of recognition will come into effect after the end of academic session 2021 and 22 hence you are required to issue necessary direction or order in this regard so that our institution may not be debarred from counselling for 2020." The appellant, subsequently, with their letter dt. 08/12/2020 (delivered by hand on 21/12/2020), submitted a copy of the approved faculty list, copies of affidavits and copies of land related documents.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the appellant, aggrieved by the order of withdrawal dt. 10/07/2020, filed a W.P. (C) 4062/2020 before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi. The Hon'ble High Court, in their order dt. 29/07/2020, opining that the impugned order is an appealable order under Section 18 of the NCTE Act, directed that the petitioner may challenge the withdrawal order before the Appellate Authority and the Appellate Authority may deal with the appeal expeditiously.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the NRC issued a Show Cause Notice to the appellant on 05/04/2018 and the appellant replied to the same on 09/05/2018. The NRC thereafter issued another Show Cause Notice on 04/10/2019, pointing out five deficiencies. The appellant replied on 29/10/2019. The NRC, after considering that reply issued the withdrawal order dt. 10/07/2020 on the ground that "as per SOP, two SCNs U/s 17 have already been issued. Therefore, the recognition of the institution may be withdrawn as enough opportunities have been given to the institution."

*Respectfully*

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the ground of withdrawal does not indicate, what further deficiencies have been noticed by the NRC after examining the reply of the appellant dt. 29/10/2019 (received on 15/11/2019). In the absence of such indication in clear cut terms, the appellant is denied of the opportunity to submit their explanation.

**AND WHEREAS** in the above circumstances, the Committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to intimate the appellant, the specific grounds/deficiencies, if any, warranting withdrawal and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC with a direction to intimate the appellant, the specific grounds/deficiencies, if any, warranting withdrawal and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Rajiv Gandhi Mahila Mahavidhyalaya, Vill.-Pardaha Maunath Bhanjan, Tehsil Road, Sadar, Mau, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



**(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)**  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Rajiv Gandhi Mahila Mahavidhyalaya, Vill. – Pardaha Maunath Bhanjan, Tehsil Road, Sadar, Mau, Uttar Pradesh – 275101.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

12

F.No.89-211/E-166425/2020 Appeal/2<sup>nd</sup> Mtg.-2021/19<sup>th</sup> January, 2021**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 11/02/2021

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Dr. M.R. Reddy College of Education, Bhupally Road, Parkal, Warangal, Telangana dated 09/10/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APS00044/B.Ed/TS/2020-117879 dated 08.09.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "on the perusal of the reply submitted by the institution on 05-12-2019, the committee observed and found the following deficiencies:- 1. The institution has not submitted certified copy of land documents. 2. The institution has not submitted certified copy of land use certificate. 3. Survey no. Is not mentioned in building plan. 4. Date of inspection and multipurpose hall is not mentioned in BCC. BCC submitted is not in the prescribed NCTE format. 5. Photocopy of staff list along with letter from Kakatiya University approved by the registrar, Kakatiya University consisting of one Principal, 7 Assistant Professors submitted dated nil for the session 2019-2020 a) the staff list submitted by the institution is not in the prescribed NCTE format. (b) The principal does not possess a Ph.D. Degree c) Percentage of marks not given in the staff list. 6. The institution has not submitted copy of Form 'A' issued by the branch manager and the photocopies of FDR has been expired. The institution is required to submit form "A" issued by the bank manager towards creation of FDR of Rs. 7 lakh & Rs. 5 lakhs towards endowment fund & reserve fund."

**AND WHEREAS** the appellant, aggrieved by the order of the SRC, filed a Writ Petition No. 17995 of 2020 before the Hon'ble High Court for the State of Telangana, Hyderabad. The Hon'ble High Court, in their order dt. 09/10/2020, closed the Writ Petition, giving liberty to the petitioner to file an appeal before the Appellate Authority. The Hon'ble High court also directed that as and when such appeal is filed, the Appellate Authority shall decide the same, in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt thereof.

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Rashid Ahmed Pasha, Academic Coordinator, Dr. M.R. Reddy College of Education, Bhupally Road, Parkal, Warangal, Telangana presented online the case of the appellant institution on 22/10/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "There are no infrastructural deficiencies in the college and the objections are only technical and there is no lapse on the part of the appellant as required under the NCTE, Act. The college is functioning under the recognition of NCTE granted in 2001. i.e. 19 years back and since today the institution has improved 10 times of infrastructural development and there are no adverse remarks against the institution. The withdrawal cannot be on the basis of technical deficiencies. Now we are ready to submit:- 1. Certified copy of land documents in original. 2. Notarised certified copy of Land Use Certificate. 3. Building Plan with sy.no. mentioned. (notarised) 4. Notarised BCC as per NCTE format. 5. Notarised staff list in prescribed NCTE format with approval by registrar Kakatiya University, Warangal. 6. Form "A" issued by the concern branch manager. So, please accept our explanation and issue recognition for the academic year 2020-2021. Otherwise, the institution will suffer irreparable loss and damage."

**AND WHEREAS** the appellant, in an email, requested further time to argue some more important points. The Committee acceded to the request and decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the second opportunity to present their case.

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Rashid Ahmed Pasha, Representative, Dr. M.R. Reddy College of Education, Bhupally Road, Parkal, Warangal, Telangana was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 01/12/2020 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. The appellant, in the course of online presentation, requested another opportunity to present their case. The Committee acceded to the request and decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the third and final opportunity to present their case.

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Rashid Ahmad Pasha, Representative presented online the case of the appellant institution on 19/01/2021 i.e. the third and final opportunity granted to them. The appellant, with their letter dt. 20/01/2021, submitted online a copy of the staff list approved by the Registrar, Kakatiya University, Warangal on 19/01/2021. The appellant with their letter dt. 09/10/2020, submitted (i) certified copy of land documents in original; (ii) notarised copy of land use certificate, (iii) notarised copy of building plan; (iv)

*Rashid Pasha*

notarised copy of Building Completion Certificate; and (v) notarised copy of Form 'A' issued by State Bank of India and copies of FDRs held jointly with the Regional Director, SRC and valid upto 29/09/2023 and 03/09/2024.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee, noting that the appellant submitted the documents found wanting in the withdrawal order, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider these documents submitted in appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant, and take further necessary action as per NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC all the documents submitted in appeal, with originals thereof, wherever necessary, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to consider these documents submitted in appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant, and take further necessary action as per NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC all the documents submitted in appeal, with originals thereof, wherever necessary, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

**NOW THEREFORE**, the Council hereby remands back the case of Dr. M.R. Reddy College of Education, Bhupally Road, Parkal, Warangal, Telangana to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)  
Member Secretary

1. The Secretary, Dr. M.R. Reddy College of Education, Parkal, Bhupally Road, Parkal, Warangal, Telangana – 506164.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Telangana, Hyderabad.

13



F.No.89-226/E-166296/2020 Appeal/2<sup>nd</sup> Mtg.-2021/19<sup>th</sup> January, 2021

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 11/02/2021

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Lakshmi Narayan Mahavidyalaya, Pakharpur (Kushmaur), Nbaim Kushmaur, Nbaim Road, Sadar, Mau, Uttar Pradesh dated 05/10/2020 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/UP-3272/315<sup>th</sup> Meeting/2020/209453 dated 09.09.2020 of the Northern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Building Completion Certificate is not signed by the Competent Government Authority. The latest/ current faculty list approved & signed each page by concerned affiliating body in original with the details of their teaching subject, date of birth, date of selection, date of joining, academic qualifications, teaching experience, NET/Ph.D. (NCTE's GOI dated 09.06.2017), salary structure and related documents duly attested by authorized management representative not submitted. Notarized original affidavit of Rs.100/- on non-judicial stamp paper by the management and Rs.10/- non-judicial stamp paper by each selected / appointed faculty in the NCTE prescribed proforma are not submitted. Approved faculty list is not submitted as per Appendix 4 of NCTE Regulation, 2014 (Amended from time to time). Copies of valid fixed deposit receipts Nationalized/ Scheduled Bank towards Endowment & Reserve Fund in the joint operation made with RD, NRC, NCTE & Management alongwith duly filled Bank Form "A" in original, verified/ signed by the Manager of the Bank as per NCTE Regulations, 2014 are not submitted. Downloaded copies of documents from the website of the institution with hyperlinks of the same as per provisions of 7(14) of the NCTE Regulations, 2014 not submitted."

**AND WHEREAS** No one from, Lakshmi Narayan Mahavidyalaya, Pakharpur (Kushmaur), Nbaim Kushmaur, Nbaim Road, Sadar, Mau, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 03/12/2020. In the appeal memoranda it was submitted that "Institution has submitted the Building Completion Certificate duly signed by the

*Kesav I. Raje*

competent authority by its letters dated 26.04.2018. Thereafter, in reply to the Show Cause Notice dated 14.10.2019, the Building Completion Certificate duly signed by competent authorities was again submitted by the institution by its letter dated 05.11.2019. thus, there is no deficiency in respect of building completion certificate. building completion certificate is enclosed as Annexure-1. The institution vide its letters dated 26.04.2018 and again by letter dated 05.11.2019 submitted the approved faculty list (1+15) duly approved by affiliating university namely Veerbahadur Singh Purvanchal Vishwavidyalaya, Jaunpur. a copy of the approved faculty list as conveyed by the University by its letters dated 01.07.2019 and 08.07.2019 are enclosed as Annexure-2. The institution by its letter dated 05.11.2019 submitted the joint FDR alongwith certificate of bank for total amount of Rs.12 lakhs. original FDRs and certificate issued by the bank shall be produced at the time of hearing of appeal. A copy of certificate and FDRs are attached as Annexure-3. In the Show Cause Notice dated 14.10.2019, no deficiency was pointed out regarding submission of downloaded copies of documents from the website of the institution with hyperlink as per provisions of clause 7(14) of NCTE Regulations, 2014. This deficiency was pointed out for the first time in the withdrawal order dated 09.09.2020. However, it is submitted that the institution is maintaining its website properly with all information as per clause 7(14) of NCTE Regulations, 2014. The details of the website shall be shown to the Appeal Committee at the time of hearing of appeal. The website address of the institution is [www.lndc.in](http://www.lndc.in)."

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee, as per extant appeal rules, decided to grant another (second) opportunity to present its case before Appellate Authority.

**AND WHEREAS** the Committee noted that the appellant, aggrieved by the order of withdrawal dt. 09/09/2020 filed a W.P (C)7399/2020 before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi at New Delhi. The Hon'ble High Court, in their order dt. 01/10/2020, inter-alia, permitting the petitioner to file an appeal within one week from today (i.e. 01/10/2020), directed the Appellate Authority to adjudicate/dispose of the appeal expeditiously uninfluenced by any observations made by this court and as per law.

**AND WHEREAS** Dr. Arvind Kumar Dwivedi, M.D. and Sh. Sudhakar Yadav, Cleark, Lakshmi Narayan Mahavidyalaya, Pakharpur (Kushmaur), Nbaim Kushmaur, Nbaim Road, Sadar, Mau, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 19/01/2021, i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. The appellant, with their letter dt. 19/01/2021, submitted (i) a copy of the Building Completion Certificate countersigned by the Pradhan, Gram Panchayat; (ii) a copy of the building plan; (iii) a copy of faculty list approved by the Registrar, Veer Bahadur Singh Purvanchal University, Jaunpur and copies of the letters issued by the said University approving the faculty; (iv) affidavit of the management on Rs. 100/- stamp paper; (v) individual affidavits the faculty member; (vi) copies of FDRs and letters issued by the Bank in Form 'A'; and (vii) copies of some website pages.

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is recognised to conduct B.Ed. programme since 2011 and is continuing to function from the same address. The Committee, noting that the appellant has submitted the documents vis a vis the grounds of withdrawal, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the NRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant, and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the NRC all the documents submitted in appeal, with originals thereof, wherever necessary within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC with a direction to consider the documents submitted in appeal, to be sent to them by the appellant, and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the NRC all the documents submitted in appeal, with originals thereof, wherever necessary within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal.

*Resep / Rave*

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Lakshmi Narayan Mahavidyalaya, Pakharpur (Kushmaur), Nbaim Kushmaur, Nbaim Road, Sadar, Mau, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

**(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)**  
Member Secretary

- 1. The Manager, Lakshmi Narayan Mahavidyalaya, Pakharpur (Kushmaur), 798, 950 Nbaim Kushmaur, Nbaim Road, Sadar, Mau, Uttar Pradesh – 275103.**
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

14



F.No.89-252/E-170005/2020 Appeal/2<sup>nd</sup> Mtg.-2021/19<sup>th</sup> January, 2021

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION**

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 11/02/2021

**ORDER**

**WHEREAS** the appeal of Omm Muruga College of Education, Pottaveli, Adoor Agaram Post, Pottaveli, Kurinjipadi T.K., Cuddalore, Tamil Nadu dated 10/11/2020 is against the Order No. SRC/NCTE/APSO9649/B.Ed./2020/118500 dated 21.09.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Institute had only submitted Form 'A' and copy of FDRs of Rs. 8 Lakhs only against the requirement of 12 Lakhs under NCTE Regulations, 2014. The signature of Registrar, TTEU is not visible on the proforma of faculty due to the stamp of notary over it. The institute failed to submit certified copy of land documents in favour of society/trust/institute along with notarized copy of English translation whereas only notarized copy of land documents have been submitted instead of certified one and notarized English translation have not been submitted. The building plan submitted by the institute is neither approved nor legible. The institution has not submitted notarized English version of Non-Encumbrance Certificate. The institution has not submitted notarized English version of Land Use Certificate duly approved by the competent authority. Building Completion Certificate has not been submitted under prescribed format. The institute did not submit details of uploading requisite information on its website as required under NCTE Regulations."

**AND WHEREAS** in the appeal memoranda it was submitted that "Management is having the FDRs jointly with RD, NCTE SRC and Management on 11.09.2014 of Rs.5,00,000/- and Rs.3,00,000/-. Both FDR matured in 2019. The Maturity value is Rs.12,32,463/- and the both FDRs are automatically renewed by the Bank. Form 'A' and FDRs copy is enclosed. In the meantime, we took FDR for four lakhs additional. The Management appointed 1 Principal and 15 Assistant Professors approved by the Registrar, TNTEU on 23.12.2015 as per the NCTE new Regulations 2014. Copy of the

*Keep 4 files*

Annexure—III and order is already submitted. The Management is having own land and notarized copy land documents is submitted. Now the same land documents certified copy from Register Office is available. The Building Plan is approved by the President, Village Panchayat and same original plan has been submitted to NCTE, SRC several times. Only copy of Building Plan is available and is enclosed. Encumbrance Certificate issued by Sub-Register office, Kurinjipadi and translated English version is also submitted. Once again, we submit translated latest English version of Encumbrance Certificate. The Land Use Certificate was issued by the Tahsildhar, Kurinjipadi on 2014. The file number is Na.Ka.B2/526/2014 is already submitted to NCTE, SRC on 13.05.2015. Copy is Enclosed. The Management submitted Building Completion Certificate to the NCTE SRC on 13.05.2015 and same has been submitted on 26.11.2019 also. The latest Building Completion Certificate is also enclosed. Website information is provided in our website. ID is [www.ommmurugabed.com](http://www.ommmurugabed.com)."

**AND WHEREAS** Omm Muruga College of Education, Pottaveli, Adoor Agaram Post, Pottaveli, Kurinjipadi T.K., Cuddalore, Tamil Nadu was asked to present the case of the appellant institution on 04/12/2020 but nobody from the institution appeared. Online link also could not be established despite efforts and the hearing was aborted. The Committee, therefore, decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the second opportunity to present their case.

**AND WHEREAS** Sh. Raja A, Director and Sh. K.R. Sekar, Director, Omm Muruga College of Education, Pottaveli, Adoor Agaram Post, Pottaveli, Kurinjipadi T.K., Cuddalore, Tamil Nadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 19/01/2021 i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. The appellant, with their letter dt. 19/01/2021, submitted, copies of all land related documents, approved faculty list, Form 'A' issued by Indian Bank with copies of the FDRs and upload website details. The appellant, with their email dt. 27/01/2021, forwarded salary acquittance rolls of the faculty for the months of October, November and December, 2020.

*Kesav Iyengar*

**AND WHEREAS** Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is recognised to conduct B.Ed. programme since 2009 and is continuing to function uninterruptedly. The Committee, noting that the appellant submitted the documents vis a vis the grounds mentioned in the withdrawal order, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to consider these documents submitted in appeal to be sent to them by the appellant, and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC all the documents submitted in appeal, within originals thereof, wherever necessary, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

**AND WHEREAS** after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC with a direction to consider these documents submitted in appeal to be sent to them by the appellant and take further necessary action as per the NCTE Regulation, 2014. The appellant is directed to forward to the SRC all the documents submitted in appeal, within originals thereof, wherever necessary, within 15 days of receipt of orders on the appeal.

**NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Omm Muruga College of Education, Pottaveli, Adoor Agaram Post, Pottaveli, Kurinjipadi T.K., Cuddalore, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.**

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.



(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)  
Member Secretary

1. The Vice-Principal, Omm Muruga College of Education, Pottaveli, Adoor Agaram Post, Pottaveli, Kurinjipadi T.K., Cuddalore, Tamil Nadu – 607302.
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.